# Living well during pregnancy

**Summary** 

Initiative Type
Service Improvement
Status
Deliver
Added
23 August 2023
Lost updated
Last updated 27 November 2023
URL
https://cnxp3cuvtvrn68yjaibaht5ywrxspj7m.clinicalexcellence.qld.gov.au/improvement-exchange/living-well-during-pregnancy

Good evidence exist that most interventions with a nutrition component that used theoretically derived behaviour change strategies improve dietary quality and reduce excess gestational weight gain. The implementation of the Living Well during Pregnancy program was embedded within a broader 10-year multi-strategy program of service improvement to embed obesity prevention across

maternity care at the Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital. The Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital designed a free healthy lifestyle telephone coaching program to support mums-to-be.
Key dates
Jul 2020
Dec 2021
Implementation sites
Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital
Key Contacts
A/Prof Susan de Jersey
3807
william.vanheerden.ced
Advanced Accredited Practicing Dietitian
Metro North Hospital and Health Service
07 36460544
susan.dejersey@health.qld.gov.au
Aim
To address existing barriers to care to develop and implement an evidence-based nutrition service

into routine antenatal care for high-risk women.

#### **Benefits**

What our formative research demonstrated was that for a women with a higher body mass index, a low intensity intervention was unlikely to be enough to impact on outcomes.

## **Background**

Gaining more weight than recommended and poor nutrition in pregnancy are key risk factors for the development of metabolic disease such as gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), hypertension and obesity in mothers and future metabolic disease in offspring. Dietary counselling and weight monitoring are effective at improving nutritional intake and reducing weight gain above recommendations. However, women classified as being above a healthy weight before pregnancy experience greater barriers to achieving nutrition and weight gain recommendations, have a lower confidence to achieve health goals and require more intensive support.

### **Solutions Implemented**

In recognition of this need for more intensive support a new person-centred dietic telephone coaching service was commenced for women with a BMI of 25 or more, or gaining weight above recommendations. We recommended 27 Expert Recommendations for Implementing Change (ERIC) strategies to facilitate implementation of Living Well during Pregnancy. A face-to-face model of care to reduce gestational weight gain (GWG) in women living with a body weight above recommended at our metropolitan tertiary hospital was poorly attended, and less than 10% of eligible women were referred. We used implementation science principles and existing evidence to address existing barriers to care to develop and implement an evidence-based nutrition service into routine antenatal care for high-risk women.

#### **Evaluation and Results**

We used the RE-AIM (Reach, Effectiveness, Adoption, Implementation and Maintenance) framework to evaluate the program with our protocol and outcomes paper published. Women with a prepregnancy BMI of 25 or over had stronger intentions to manage their GWG, however, they experienced greater barriers and lower confidence to overcome these barriers than women classified as a healthy weight. Compared to pre-implementation we had a 105 increase in women eligible, that were referred to the program. We used hospital costings data to evaluate the cost effectiveness of the program compared to standard care in preventing gestational diabetes. The Living Well program was dominant over routine care in reducing GDM and supports broad reach delivery of structured interventions during pregnancy to lower the short-term costs associated with GDM to the health

system. While the program demonstrated benefits to those who engaged, around half of those referred did not take up or complete the program. Those women were invited to tell us their experiences to inform the future development to meet the needs of more women. Nine women were interviewed and transcripts thematically analysed.

#### **Lessons Learnt**

- activity pressures did not allow time for consumer co-design from the outset
- ongoing advocacy is needed to emphasise that this service is a high priority within an "acute care facility".
- staff turnover, training and willingness to work outside usual hours.
- funding for innovation and redesign

## **Further Reading**

**National Library of Medicine** 

PDF saved 21/05/2025